New Mexico - (Field Office) FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands Applicant: Farm No Tract No CMS Field No's Date:												
Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land				Preliminary Rating Final Rating								
1. Plants - Potential Points (25% = 300)												
Note: Instructions on separate sheet				% Area in Contract A				Potential Points	Points - Bench Mark	Points - After		
Rangelands:	SI of 76-1 00 w/tren	d up or not apparent	%	+ _	_ + _	_=	%	300				
Ecological	SI of 51-75 with upv	%	+ _	+	 	%	275					
Site	SI of 51-75 with downward trend		%	+	+	_	%	225				
Similarity	SI of 26-50 with upward trend		%	+ _	+	 	%	200				
Index	SI of 26-50 with downward trend		%	+	+	_	%	175				
(SI)*	SI of 0-25 with upward trend		%	+	+	_	%	150				
	SI of 0-25 with downward trend		%	+	+	_	%	100				
Riparian	Use Attachment 1, 2, or 3	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Quali	ty After:		%	N/A				
Grazed Forest:	Use Attachment 4	% Quality Bench Mark:	%	% Quali	ty After:		%	N/A				
		1. Plants Total	100%	Total			100%	Total:				

Now Moving (Field Office)					
New Mexico - (Field Office)					
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazin Applicant: Farm No Tract No CMS Field No.		Date:			
Tribal Land Non-Tribal Land Preliminary Rating					
2. Conservation Practice(s) Selection - Potential Poi		ts (65% = 520)			
Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be give to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have long life spans. Soil Erosion	n Potential	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After		
Erosion (Water) - Diversions (36	2) 10				
Erosion (Wind) - Brush Mgmt. (314), Range Planting (58					
Water Quantity					
Formula: (Total Trees) X (H2O Savings) X (.0001) = points					
Tree Dia. Daily H2O Savings (Total Trees) X (H2O Savings) = Gallo	ns				
3 inch 4.5					
12 inch 18.0					
(Gal. Saved -) X (.0001) = points					
Brush Mgmt.(31	4) 0-300				
Water Quality/Grazing Management Commitment					
No Commitme	ent 0				
Minimum of 2 years (Range Improvements Neede					
Length of the contract (Immediate Implementation upon approval of contract	t)) 40				
Plants (Choose one only)					
< 100 Trees/Ac (Light) (Brush Mgmt 314 95% Contr					
100-249 Trees/Ac (Medium) (Brush Mgmt 314 95% Contr					
> 250 Trees/Ac (Heavy) (Brush Mgmt. 314 95% Conti	ol) 60				
Water Distribution - Water development, seedings (516,642,614,362,37	'8) 10				
Water Distribution - Water development, seedings (516,642,614,502,5). Wildlife/Livestock Water - Water facilities (516,614,64	- /				
Wildlife/Livestock Food/Cover - Brush Mgmt., seedings (314, 55					
Livestock Distribution - Fencing, Water, seedings (382, same as above	- /				
2. Conservation Practice Selecti					
3. Other Considerations - Potential Points (10					
Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Bench- mark	Points -		
A. Watershed Health Improvement (Brush, diversions, seeding)	20	0			
B. Wildlife Management Needs (Brush, water, seeding)	20	0			
C. Noxious Weed Invasion (Pest Mgmt.)	10	0			
D. Dryland Farmland Biodiversity Improvement (Seeding)	10	0			
E. Range Planting - Cool Season and/or desireable shrubs (Seeding)	10	0			
F. Riparian Area - Salt Cedar Invasion (Brush Mgmt.)	10	0			
3. Other Consideration	s Total:	U			
Designated Conservationist Date Client Date					
Official					